NAIC

Joint Call of the Life RBC (E) Working Group and the Variable Annuities Capital and Reserve (E/A) Subgroup

GOES C3 Phase I and Phase II Considerations

5/7/2025



Agenda

1. Limitations

- 2. Scenarios Used in Analysis
- 3. GOES (E/A) Subgroup Referral to Life RBC (E) Working Group
- 4. C3 Phase I Discussion
- 5. GOES (E/A) Subgroup Referral to Variable Annuities Capital and Reserve (E/A) Subgroup
- 6. C3 Phase II Discussion

Limitations

- The NAIC took steps to review the quantitative results for reasonableness. However, the accuracy and reliability of the results are ultimately dependent on the quality of participant submissions.
- For the 2024 GOES Field Test, standard templates were not used to collect results. This made the data across participants sometimes challenging to compare and some participants had to be removed from the analysis due to these challenges. Sometimes adjustments to the data were made in order to achieve comparability across the participants. This was more of a factor with the C3 Phase II results compared to the C3 Phase I results.
- The field test analytics (average C3 Factors, range of impacts, etc.) can be strongly dependent on a subset of the participants results.



4

Scenarios Used in Analysis

Field Test Run	Scenario Sets	Inforce Assets and Liabilities				
Baseline Already exists; no new runs needed.	Scenario set(s) the company used for 12/31/23 statutory reporting of reserves and RBC	As of 12/31/23				
Field Test 1 (FT1)	2024 GOES Field Test scenarios as of 12/31/23	As of 12/31/23				
Current Revised GOES Scenarios	2024 GOES Field Test calibration with revisions to the: 1) initial yield curve fitting methodology; 2) a dynamic generalized fractional floor (DGFF); and 3) a revised equity calibration with 1 st percentile gross wealth factors (GWFs) that more closely align with acceptance criteria. Scenarios produced as of 12/31/23.	As of 12/31/23				



Referral to Life RBC (E) Working Group

The GOES (E/A) Subgroup has been working to implement a new economic scenario generator for use in statutory reserve and capital calculations for life insurance and annuities. It is planned that the new economic scenario generator will be effective for C3 Phase I and C3 Phase II for year-end 2026. To facilitate the implementation of the new economic scenario generator, the GOES (E/A) Subgroup requests that the Life Risk-Based Capital (E) Working Group:

- 1. Implement the necessary changes to the Life Risk-Based Capital Blanks and Instructions,
- 2. Coordinate with the Variable Annuities Capital and Reserve (E/A) Subgroup on recommended changes to the C3 Phase II calculation,
- 3. Consider changes to the required number of scenarios for the C3 Phase I calculation, if necessary, and,
- 4. Consider changes to the capital metric for the C3 Phase I calculation, if necessary.

The Subgroup appreciates the Working Group's assistance on this issue and looks forward to the response.

C3 Phase I Background

Calculation Details

- Cash flow models that are used for asset adequacy analysis (or other consistent models) are used. The greatest present value of a deficiency at any point in the projection is calculated for each scenario.
- 50 or 12 interest rate scenarios generated from an older version of the Academy Interest Rate Generator (AIRG) are used in the calculations. The 50 or 12 scenarios are selected from a larger 200 set and are meant to contain the most adverse scenarios so that a tail measure metric can be calculated with a smaller number of scenarios.
- This version of the AIRG has a 6.55% interest rate mean reversion parameter (MRP) which does not change, compared with the current version of the AIRG which has a dynamic MRP that resets annually based on a weighted average of past interest rate levels.
- From the 50-scenario set, a weighted average centered around the 95th percentile scenario is determined, and that is the C3 RBC amount.
- In the C3 Phase I RBC worksheet, the scenario level and final results are also shown as a "C3 Factor" percentage, which is the capital amount divided by the statutory reserve at the start of the projection.

Scenario Rank:

Weight:

(a) For the 50 scenario set, the C-3 scores are multiplied by the following series of weights

15

0.06

14

0.08

13

0.10

Weighting Table

16

0.04

17

0.02



5

0.02

C3 Phase I Metric

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF INSURANCE COMMISSIONERS

12

0.12

11

0.16

10

0.12

0.10

8

0.08

0.06

0.04

2024 GOES Field Test C3 Phase I Results by Metric

- 13/16 company model segments had "factor-based floor" amounts greater or equal to their model determined C3 factors for the Baseline run using the current weighted average metric, compared to 11/16 company model segments had "factor-based floor" amounts greater or equal to their model determined C3 factors using the 2024 GOES FT1 scenarios. However, the average model determined C3 factor increased from 0.483% to 2.098% due to outlier model segments.
- The average C3 factor using CTE 90 increased to 2.821% using the GOES 2024 FT1 scenarios compared to the 2.098% for the current metric, but still 11/16 company model segments had "factor-based floor" amounts greater or equal to their model determined C3 factors and the CTE 90 metric.



Average C3 Factor by Metric

Statistics	Factor-Based	C3 Phase	e I Metric	СТІ	90	СТЕ	95	CTE 98		
25 th Percentile	Floor	B1	FT1	B1	FT1	B1	FT1	B1	FT1	
25 th Percentile	0.467%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.038%	
Median	0.697%	0.000%	0.360%	0.079%	0.134%	0.079%	0.723%	0.397%	2.060%	
75 th Percentile	0.874%	0.398%	2.648%	1.928%	3.209%	1.002%	3.612%	2.814%	5.102%	
Average Factor*	0.666%	0.483%	2.098%	0.801%	2.821%	0.660%	4.072%	1.833%	6.000%	
Count	16	19	19	12	18	11	16	12	18	

Referral to Variable Annuities Capital and Reserve (E/A) SG

The GOES (E/A) Subgroup has been working to implement a new economic scenario generator for use in statutory reserve and capital calculations for life insurance and annuities. It is planned that the new economic scenario generator will be effective for C3 Phase II for year-end 2026. One of the goals of the project to implement the GOES has been to consider whether changes to reserve and/or capital metrics are necessary in light of the new scenarios. To facilitate the implementation of the new economic scenario generator, the GOES (E/A) Subgroup requests that the Variable Annuities Capital and Reserve (E/A) Subgroup:

- 1. Consider changes to the capital metric for the C3 Phase II calculation, if necessary, and,
- 2. Coordinate with the Life Risk-Based Capital (E) Working Group on any changes to the C3 Phase II metric and any related changes to the Life Risk-Based Capital Blanks and Instructions.

The GOES (E/A) Subgroup appreciates the Variable Annuities Capital and Reserve (E/A) Subgroup's assistance on this issue and looks forward to the response.



C3 Phase II Background

Calculation Details

- CTE 98 is determined one of two ways:
 - If using the Macro Tax Adjustment (MTA), federal income tax is ignored in the modeled cash flows. As a result, for each individual scenario, the numerical value of the scenario reserve used in this calculation should be identical to that for the same scenario in the Aggregate Reserve calculation under VM-21.
 - If using Specific Tax Recognition, CTE After-tax (CTEAT) 98 is calculated using a model that is directly reflective of tax cashflows.
- From there, the C3 RBC Amount is:
 - If using the MTA:
 - 25% x ((CTE (98) + Additional Standard Projection Amount Statutory Reserve) x (1 Federal Income Tax Rate) – (Statutory Reserve – Tax Reserve) x Federal Income Tax Rate
 - If using STR:
 - 25% x (CTEAT (98) + Additional Standard Projection Amount Statutory Reserve)

2024 GOES Field Test C3 Phase II Results by Metric

Statistics	Baseline CTE98/Baseline CTE70 -1	FT1 CTE 70/Baseline CTE70 -1	FT1_CTE90/FT1_CTE70-1	FT1_CTE95/FT1_CTE70-1	FT1_CTE98/FT1_CTE70-1
25th Percentile	0.50%	0.05%	0.22%	0.85%	1.38%
Median	1.48%	0.21%	0.69%	1.59%	3.14%
75th Percentile	2.91%	0.33%	1.35%	2.39%	3.66%
Weighted Average	1.63%	0.55%	0.76%	1.58%	2.53%

• The table above shows summarized 2024 GOES Field Test data across 8 model segments from six different field test participants. The averages shown were weighted by baseline CTE 70 amount.

• The CTE 70 and CTE 98 amounts include the cash value.

- The Baseline CTE 98 was **1.63% greater** than the Baseline CTE 70 amount.
- Comparing the CTE 70 from FT1 to that of the Baseline, the ratio of the FT1 CTE 70 was **0.55%** greater.
- Alternative metrics were compared to the FT1 CTE 70 amount, with the following results:
 - FT1 CTE 90 was 0.76% greater
 - FT1 CTE 95 was 1.58% greater
 - FT1 CTE 98 was 2.53% greater



Variable Annuity Model Office Results by Metric

- Unfloored, VM-21 adjusted model office results are shown for three different cohorts:
 - New Business, Weak Guarantee, In-the-money
 - New Business, Strong Guarantee, Out-the-money
 - Mature Business, Strong Guarantee, At-the-money
- The potential capital metrics (CTE90, CTE 95, and CTE 98) were higher using the Current Revised GOES scenarios compared to those produced using the AIRG. The differences got wider with higher confidence levels.
- When comparing the potential capital metrics to their respective CTE 70 amount (AIRG or Revised GOES Scenarios), CTE 95 for the Revised GOES Scenarios was more consistent with the current CTE 98 metric used with the AIRG.

Unfloored, Adjusted Results by Metric

New Weak ITM	CTE70	CTE90	CTE90/CTE70	CTE95	CTE95/CTE70	CTE98	CTE98/CTE70
AIRG	86,782,233	93,802,216	8.09%	97,074,573	11.86%	100,784,003	16.13%
Current Revised GOES Scenarios	85,297,537	94,297,618	10.55%	100,157,708	17.42%	108,884,741	27.65%
Revised Scenarios vs AIRG	-1.71%	0.53%	,)	3.18%		8.04%	
		_					
New Strong OTM	CTE70	CTE90	CTE90/CTE70	CTE95	CTE95/CTE70	CTE98	CTE98/CTE70
AIRG	84,951,284	90,714,237	6.78%	93,488,137	10.05%	96,473,555	13.56%
Current Revised GOES Scenarios	83,781,833	90,984,385	8.60%	96,014,900	14.60%	102,990,800	22.93%
Revised Scenarios vs AIRG	-1.38%	0.30%	,)	2.70%		6.76%	
Mature Strong ATM	CTE70	CTE90	CTE90/CTE70	CTE95	CTE95/CTE70	CTE98	CTE98/CTE70
AIRG	92,803,482	96,793,955	4.30%	99,081,186	6.76%	101,958,674	9.87%
Current Revised GOES Scenarios	92,437,989	97,919,015	5.93%	101,783,155	10.11%	107,568,688	16.37%
Revised Scenarios vs AIRG	-0.39%	1.16%	,	2.73%		5.50%	

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF INSURANCE COMMISSIONERS

Variable Annuity Model Office Results by Metric

Unfloored, Adjusted Results by Metric in Excess of Cash Value

New Weak ITM	CTE70		CTE90		CTE90/CTE70		CTE95		CTE95/CTE70		CTE98		CTE98/CTE70	CSV		94,000,000
AIRG		0		0		NA		3,074,573		NA	6	,784,003	NA	<u> </u>		
Current Revised GOES Scenarios		0	297	,618		NA		6,157,708		NA	14	,884,741	NA	N N		
Revised Scenarios vs AIRG		NA		NA				100.28%				119.41%				
														, <u> </u>		
New Strong OTM	CTE70		CTE90		CTE90/CTE70		CTE95		CTE95/CTE70		CTE98		CTE98/CTE70	CSV		94,000,000
AIRG		0		0		NA		0		NA	2,	,473,555	NA	N N		
Current Revised GOES Scenarios		0		0		NA		2,014,900		NA	8,	,990,800	NA			
Revised Scenarios vs AIRG		NA		NA				NA				263.48%				
Mature Strong ATM	CTE70		CTE90		CTE90/CTE70		CTE95		CTE95/CTE70		CTE98		CTE98/CTE70	CSV		99,954,000
AIRG		0		0		NA		0		NA	2	,004,674	NA	\		
Current Revised GOES Scenarios		0		0		NA		1,829,155		NA	7,	,614,688	NA	N N		
Revised Scenarios vs AIRG		NA		NA				NA				279.85%				