

Reinsurance Asset Adequacy Testing

Life Actuarial Task Force

Fred Andersen, FSA, MAAA

5/29/2025

Agenda

1. Background
2. Timeline
3. Final steps for Guideline
4. Exposure (after consideration of discussion)

Background

- Reminder of goals:
 - Provide US state regulators what is needed to review the reserves & solvency of US life insurers.
 - Transparency into reserves and supporting assets even if business is ceded
 - Steer clear of conflict with reciprocal jurisdiction / covered agreement issues.
 - Prevent work by US ceding companies where there's immaterial risk.

Timeline

- May 29, 2025, 1pm CT - LATF discussion
- May 30, 2025, end of business day - “fatal flaws” 4-day exposure
- June 3, 2025 - Final comments due
- June 5, 2025 - Final LATF discussion and vote for adoption
- July 2025 - A Committee consideration of adoption
- August 13, 2025 - Exec / Plenary consideration of adoption
- April 1, 2026 - First reports due

Covered Agreement comment letter

- Concerned about, “you have this information, then what?”
 - Commitment, if issues are identified such that NAIC-coordinated addressing is warranted, is for public discussions to re-open to discuss how to most appropriately address any concerns (not company specific)
 - Listening to comments and concerns of all interested parties
- Worked for past 15 months, at industry’s request, to appropriately limit scope
- Cash flow testing is key for US state regulators to protect US policyholders
 - Will there be enough money to pay claims in various scenarios?
 - Without this key actuarial transparency, US state regulators feel less able to do our jobs to protect US policyholders
- US and other regulators are acting as teammates
 - Preventing failures is good for everyone: US & other regulators, ceding companies, reinsurers, policyholders

Remaining Actuarial Topics - Highlighted in Exposure

- Assets to include in Cash Flow Testing Starting Asset Amount
- Aggregation re: product lines
- Handling of certain retrocessions
- Scope adjustment

Remaining Topics - Other substantive items

- Certain non-affiliated exemption considerations

Remaining Topics - Less substantive items

- Scope relative size – numerator / denominator consistency
- Examples

Remaining Topics - Additional topics from commenters

- Definitions of asset adequacy analysis and additional reserve
- Clarification re: treaty-level deficiencies

Assets to include in Starting Asset Amount

- Focus has been on Primary Security
 - Concept from Actuarial Guideline 48
 - For certain reinsured business, only certain asset types can support VM-20 PBR reserves
 - Non-Primary Security seems to focus on lower quality, lower dependability, lower transparency
- However, some non-Primary Security is standard to include in cash-flow testing
 - Including certain Schedule BA assets, residential mortgage loans
- Comment: change Primary Security to admitted assets for purposes of this Guideline
- After further study, proposal to start with admitted assets and exclude certain asset types

Proposal: assets to exclude from Starting Asset Amount

- Define "Guideline Excluded Assets"
 - Non-admitted assets
 - Letters of credit
 - Contingent notes
 - Credit-linked notes
 - Excess of loss (XOL) reinsurance
 - Parental and affiliate guarantees
 - Assets only admitted pursuant to permitted practices.
- Post-Reinsurance Reserve does not include Guideline Excluded Assets

Examples of gray area admitted / non-admitted assets

- Admissibility of some common Schedule BA assets:
 - Joint venture, partnership, LLC
 - Admitted only if there are audited financial statements
 - Surplus notes
 - Admitted if meet certain requirements
 - Collateral loans
 - Admitted only if fully backed by collateral that would qualify as an admitted asset
 - Residual tranches
 - Admitted only if debt securities issued from the structure would qualify as admitted assets

Aggregation re: product lines

- Proposal:
 - Do not require product-line level analysis for year-end 2025
 - In recognition of the amount of work to put together counterparty-level analysis that will be required
- Discussion:
 - State “for year-end 2025 only”?

Handling of certain retrocessions

- Proposal:
 - The original ceding company should use a starting asset amount based on the reserve the ultimate affiliated assuming company is holding.
 - In cases where a US captive does not provide AG ReAAT analysis when they're in scope and then captive retrocedes the block to a non-VM-30 entity.
 - This type of instance was identified in multiple cases
 - US company cedes to a captive, and that captive is retroceding the business to a non-VM-30 filer
 - e.g., If the cession to the captive results in a reserve decrease from \$100 to \$90
 - And then a retrocession from the captive to a reinsurer in another jurisdiction results in a reserve decrease from \$90 to \$75...
 - Regulators want to see analysis of the adequacy of the \$75

Scope adjustment

- Consideration to tweak the size scope:
 - Effect of excluding a few relatively small treaties for large cedants and
 - Adding a few relatively large treaties for small cedants
 - Proposal was from Missouri department
 - Examples of treaties that would be excluded:
 - a treaty with \$2 billion reserve credit which is 3% of the ceding company's total gross reserves
 - a treaty with \$300 million reserve credit which is 15% of the ceding company's total gross reserves
 - Added: a treaty with \$5 million reserve credit which is 60% of the ceding company's total gross reserves

Certain non-affiliated exemption considerations

- Proposal:
 - Expand consideration of cash-flow testing exemption to large non-affiliated treaties
 - NAIC Valuation Analysis Working Group would assist with domestic regulator review of exemption requests

Remaining Topics - Less substantive items

- Scope relative size - numerator / denominator consistency
 - Include Exhibit 7 (deposit type contracts) and Separate Account reserves in scope denominator if they're part of the numerator
- Frame Alternative Run guidance as examples

Remaining Topics - Additional topics from commenters

- Definition of asset adequacy analysis – already in VM-01, not in AGs
- Definition of additional adequacy reserve
 - Section 2.C.2 of VM-30
 - Not desired at this point, since disclosure only?
- Flexibility re: regulatory view of treaty-level deficiencies
 - Concern about being prescriptive or restrictive
 - Disclosure only, collecting information
- Reinsurance collectability
 - Concern if reinsurer insolvency even if not also ceding company insolvency