

NATIONAL MEETING FALL / DENVER



NAIC | CENTER FOR INSURANCE POLICY AND RESEARCH

Commercial Real Estate: Assessing Insurers' Short-Term and Long-Term Exposure

NAIC 2024 FALL NATIONAL MEETING

TUESDAY NOVEMBER 19TH | 11:00 AM - 1:00 PM (MST)

Additional Times (Virtual Attendees) 10:00 AM-12:00 PM (PST) | 12:00-2:00 PM (CST) | 1:00-3:00 PM (EST)

NAIC

Event Learning Objectives:

- Identify the **breadth and depth of insurer real estate investments** through direct and indirect investment holdings
- Discuss and assess both **short- and long-term risks** associated with insurer commercial real estate exposure
- Understand how the insurance industry and regulators are monitoring and evaluating insurers' commercial real estate risks
- Highlight the significant role insurer real estate investments play in community development

<u>Journal of Insurance</u> <u>Regulation (JIR)</u>

- A Forum for Opinion and Discussion of Major Regulatory and Public Policy Issues in Insurance
- Strives to make state insurance departments more aware of the cutting-edge, high-quality research occurring in the regulatory arena

CENTER FOR INSURANCE POLICY AND RESEARCH NAC NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF INSURANCE COMMISSIONERS

Journal of Insurance Regulation Volume 43, Number 1 DOI: 10.52227/26725.2024

Sectoral Asset Concentrations and Insurance Solvency Regulation

Fabian Regele Helmut Gründl

Journal of Insurance Regulation: A Forum for Opinion and Discussion of Major Regulatory and Public Policy Issues in Insurance

The Journal of Insurance Regulation (JIR) strives to make state insurance departments more aware of the cutting-edge, high-quality research occurring in the regulatory arena. All authors having articles that deal with insurance regulation are invited to submit manuscripts to the Journal for review. Before appearing in the Journal, each article is rigorously evaluated by the JIR Editorial Review Board to ensure that all information provides a true benefit to its readers and is of the utmost quality. The ideas expressed in the Journal are not endorsed by the NAIC, CIPR, the Journal's editorial staff, or the Journal's board.

About the JIR

- Open access journal so we can quickly share current and relevant research on topics of interest to our stakeholders
- Publish approximately 10 articles a year

> Please look for our JIR Journal Club webinar discussions

- Contributors are academics, industry professionals, and regulators
- One article selected each year for the **Kimball Award**

Spencer L. Kimball Writing Award

• Spencer Kimball:

 \blacktriangleright renowned scholar on insurance law and regulation

- ➤active member of the JIR Board of Directors for 15 years
- The <u>Award</u>:
 - ≻Founded in 1993 as the JIR Writing Award

≻Renamed in 1996 to honor Dr. Kimball

Acknowledges and encourages outstanding contributions to the Journal of Insurance Regulation.

Climate Risks in the Commercial Mortgage Portfolios of Life Insurers: A Focus on Sea Level Rise and Flood Risks

Xiao (Joyce) Lin St. John's University

Kyeonghee Kim Florida State University

Xiao (Joyce) Lin (St. John's University) & Kyeonghee Kim (Florida State University)

NAIC Summit November 2024

Agenda

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Background
- 3. Sample and Data
- 4. Insurer-Level Loan-Portfolio Analysis
 - Case Studies
- 5. Regulatory Reforms in the 2010s
 - Change in RBC Charge
 - B-W Flood Insurance Reform Act
- 6. Conclusion and Next Steps

Background

Sample and Data

Insurer-level Loan-Portfolio Analysis

Case Studies

Regulatory Reforms in the 2010s

Change in RBC Charge

B-W Flood Insurance Reform Act

Conclusion and Next Steps

Motivation

- Life insurers
- Commercial mortgages as an asset class
- Climate risk capitalized in residential real estate and residential mortgages (Sea-Level-Rise and/or flood risk)
- Climate risk capitalized in commercial real estate (flood/temperature)
- Life insurers' climate risk exposure?

Background

Sample and Data

Insurer-level Loan-Portfolio Analysis

Case Studies

Regulatory Reforms in the 2010s

٠

•

Change in RBC Charge

B-W Flood Insurance Reform Act

Conclusion and Next Steps

Why life insurers (don't) care about climate risk in their CRE mortgage portfolio

- Sophisticated Investors
- Balance-sheet lenders
 - Fixed-rate, long-term, largesized, non-recourse, & I/O loans
 - Economic damages may occur earlier because of uncertainty
- Regulatory concerns

- Simply don't care about very long-term risk (searching for yield)
- Well-diversified investment portfolio
- Financial strength
- Property insured

Background

Sample and Data

Insurer-level Loan-Portfolio Analysis

Case Studies

Regulatory Reforms in the 2010s

Change in RBC Charge

B-W Flood Insurance Reform Act

Conclusion and Next Steps

Life insurers as commercial mortgage lenders

- ~1/3 of assets held in mortgages in early 1900s (Huebner 1919)
- ~15 % of assets in mortgage loans by year-end 2021 (NAIC, 2022)
- Long duration of mortgages matches with the liability structure
- One of the main players in the market: ~14% of all outstanding commercial mortgages by year-end 2021 (MBA, 2022)



Life insurers as commercial mortgage lenders

Figure 1: U.S. Commercial Real Estate by Lender



Background

Sample and Data

Insurer-level Loan-Portfolio Analysis

Case Studies

Regulatory Reforms in the 2010s

Change in RBC Charge

B-W Flood Insurance Reform Act

Conclusion and Next Steps

Sample

- **New** loans originated by active stock or mutual life insurers with positive invested assets during **2012-2019**
 - (zip code and property type information first available in 2014; imputed information for 2012-2013)
- Minimum loan value of \$100K and complete loan-level information
- Fixed rate loans (~90%) associated with a single zip code (~95%)
- 42,913 unique loans, 1376 insurer-year with ~200 unique insurers per year

Background

Sample and Data

Insurer-level Loan-Portfolio Analysis

Case Studies

Regulatory Reforms in the 2010s

Change in RBC Charge

B-W Flood Insurance Reform Act

Conclusion and Next Steps

Data

- Commercial Mortgage loan characteristics
 - Schedule B Part 2 (loan originations)
 - Interest rate, book value, appraisal value, acquisition date, maturity date, property type, location zip-code
- Insurer characteristics
 - Total invested assets, Risk Based Capital (RBC) ratio, capital to asset ratio, mortgage%, commercial mortgage%, organizational form, group indicator

Background

Sample and Data

Insurer-level Loan-Portfolio Analysis

Case Studies

Regulatory Reforms in the 2010s

Change in RBC Charge

B-W Flood Insurance Reform Act

Conclusion and Next Steps

Data – continued

- Sea Level Rise (SLR) risk data
 - NOAA SLR viewer tool
 - Six-feet SLR scenario by 2100, and overlay with zip-codes to determine an inundation percentage of area per zip-code
 - Use 20% inundation as cutoff (also tried 50%, 5%)
- FEMA flood zone data
 - Special flood hazard area (SFHA, >1% annual probability of being flooded) overlayed with zip-codes
 - Use 20% flood-zone cover as cutoff
- Flood risk factor data
 - First Street Foundation (FSF) zip-code level flood score
 - 1-10, 3 or above means moderate risk

Background

Sample and Data

Insurer-level Loan-Portfolio Analysis

Case Studies

Regulatory Reforms in the 2010s

Change in RBC Charge

B-W Flood Insurance Reform Act

Conclusion and Next Steps

Geographic locations of life insurers' loans

Figure 4: Geographical SLR and Flood Risk Exposure of Life Insurer Mortgages





Commercial Property Types

Figure 2: Commercial Property Types



Background

Sample and Data

Insurer-level Loan-Portfolio Analysis

Case Studies

Regulatory Reforms in the 2010s

Change in RBC Charge

B-W Flood Insurance Reform Act

Conclusion and Next Steps

Loan-level Summary Statistics

	Obs	Mean	$^{\mathrm{SD}}$	1st	25th	50th	75th	99th
A: Loan and Property								
Spread	42,913	2.17	1.08	0.64	1.61	2.00	2.45	6.62
Loan Value (Mil.)	42,913	9.22	20.10	0.02	0.97	3.20	9.00	98.90
Property Value (Mil.)	42,913	18.14	44.27	0.04	1.68	6.05	17.00	196.40
Loan-to-value ratio	42,913	0.58	0.16	0.09	0.50	0.61	0.69	0.86
Term (years)	42,913	14.73	7.27	2.01	10.02	12.59	20.05	30.09

Interest rate spread =

Interest rate – risk-free rate (T-bills with similar terms)

Background

Sample and Data

Insurer-level Loan-Portfolio Analysis

Case Studies

Regulatory Reforms in the 2010s

Change in RBC Charge

B-W Flood Insurance Reform Act

Conclusion and Next Steps

Insurer-level Loan-Portfolio Analysis

	Obs	Mean	SD	1 st	$25 \mathrm{th}$	$50 { m th}$	$75 \mathrm{th}$	99th
B: Loan-portfolio								
Number of New Loans	$1,\!376$	31.19	64.51	1.00	5.00	13.00	34.00	423.00
Property Type HHI	$1,\!376$	0.46	0.25	0.20	0.29	0.37	0.54	1.00
Avg. Loan Value (Mil.)	$1,\!376$	15.78	26.21	0.05	2.68	7.01	18.20	140.93
Avg. Spread	$1,\!376$	2.41	1.40	0.60	1.71	2.05	2.66	8.57
$Avg. \ LTV$	$1,\!376$	0.55	0.13	0.07	0.49	0.56	0.63	0.89
Avg. Loan Terms	$1,\!376$	11.89	5.18	1.50	9.24	11.40	14.14	28.41
Hotel/Other Share	$1,\!376$	0.15	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.19	1.00
SLR 20% Share	$1,\!376$	0.06	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.74
Flood Zone Share	$1,\!376$	0.18	0.20	0.00	0.01	0.15	0.24	1.00
Flood Cap Share	1,033	0.09	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00
Flood Factor>3 Share	1,376	0.10	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.12	1.00

Background

Sample and Data

Insurer-level Loan-Portfolio Analysis

Case Studies

Regulatory Reforms in the 2010s

Change in RBC Charge

B-W Flood Insurance Reform Act

Conclusion and Next Steps

A Small Insurer with High SLR Risk Exposure



Background

Sample and Data

Insurer-level Loan-Portfolio Analysis

Case Studies

Regulatory Reforms in the 2010s

Change in RBC Charge

B-W Flood Insurance Reform Act

Conclusion and Next Steps

A Mid-size Insurer with High SLR Risk Exposure



Xiao (Joyce) Lin (St. John's University) & Kyeonghee Kim (Florida State University)

NAIC Summit November 2024

Background

Sample and Data

Insurer-level Loan-Portfolio Analysis

Case Studies

Regulatory Reforms in the 2010s

Change in RBC Charge

B-W Flood Insurance Reform Act

Conclusion and Next Steps

A Large Insurer with High SLR Risk Exposure



Xiao (Joyce) Lin (St. John's University) & Kyeonghee Kim (Florida State University)

NAIC Summit November 2024

Background

Sample and Data

Insurer-level Loan-Portfolio Analysis

Case Studies

Regulatory Reforms in the 2010s

Change in RBC Charge

B-W Flood Insurance Reform Act

Conclusion and Next Steps

Regulatory Reforms in the 2010s

- RBC charges for commercial mortgages changed in 2013
- The Biggert-Waters Act of 2012 (BW-12) started phasing out flood insurance premium subsidies in late 2013

Background

Sample and Data

Insurer-level Loan-Portfolio Analysis ${\bullet}$

 \bullet

Case Studies

Regulatory Reforms in the 2010s

Change in RBC Charge

B-W Flood Insurance Reform Act

Conclusion and Next Steps

Change in RBC Charge

- Before 2013: RBC charges for commercial mortgages mostly differ for those in good standing (apply insurerlevel Mortgage Experience Adjustment Factor or MEAF) vs. not in good standing
- At the end of 2013, the RBC charge for commercial mortgages was updated, and a granular breakdown of the RBC charges for different categories of commercial mortgages replaced the MEAF

Background

Sample and Data

Insurer-level Loan-Portfolio Analysis

Case Studies

Regulatory Reforms in the 2010s

Change in RBC Charge

B-W Flood Insurance Reform Act

Conclusion and Next Steps

Change in RBC Charge – continued

• After 2013, the RBC charge for commercial mortgages is based on (1) debt service coverage ratio (DSCR), (2) loan-to-value (LTV) and (3) loan type.

Category	Description	RBC	
CM1	Performing	0.90%	
CM2	Performing	1.75%	
CM3	Performing	3.00%	
CM4	Performing	5.00%	
CM5	Performing	7.50%	
CM6	Loans 90 days past due but not in foreclosure	18.00%	
CM7	Loans in process of foreclosure	23.00%	

Background

Sample and Data

Insurer-level Loan-Portfolio Analysis

Case Studies

Regulatory Reforms in the 2010s

Change in RBC Charge

B-W Flood Insurance Reform Act

Conclusion and Next Steps

Biggert-Waters Flood Insurance Reform

- Phase out subsidies on the NFIP's flood insurance premiums for both residential and commercial policies
- The B-W Act was partly reversed soon after for *residential* policies only
- The NFIP underwrites about 5 million policies per year, 5-7% are policies on commercial properties. 30-40% of commercial properties received subsidies before the B-W Act.



Insurer-level loan-portfolio SLR/Flood Risk exposure over time



Background

Sample and Data

Insurer-level Loan-Portfolio Analysis

Case Studies

Regulatory Reforms in the 2010s

Change in RBC Charge

B-W Flood Insurance Reform Act

Conclusion and Next Steps

Conclusion and Next Steps

- We analyze the commercial mortgage portfolios of life insurers from 2012-2019 using loan origination data, and in particular, focus on their exposures to SLR risk and flood risk
- Significant heterogeneity of loan-portfolios at the insurer level
- Did not find much change over time (2012-2019)
- After 2019?

lacksquare

- Street-level data for commercial properties
- Life insurers vs. banks
- Link insurer (and bank) characteristics to their commercial mortgage loan portfolio



Panelists



KYEONGHEE KIM Assistant Professor Floria State University College of Business



TIM NAUHEIMER Manager, Macroprudential Supervision NAIC Capital Markets Bureau

CARMI MARGALIT, CFA Managing Director, North America Financial Services Ratings S&P Global Ratings



ROBERT KASINOW Assistant Deputy Superintendent New York Department of Financial Services

Insurance Industry Commercial (& Residential) Real Estate Exposure

Tim Nauheimer, Manager, Macroprudential Supervision, Capital Markets Bureau NAIC



12.0% 900,000 10.7% 800,000 9.5% 10:0% 9.1% 700.000 8.5% 600,000 8.0% in millions 500.000 5.9% 6.0% 400.000 in 300,000 4.0% 200,000 2.0% 100,000 0.0% 2014 2015 20162017 201820192020 202120222023 Annual Growth Rate BACV

U.S. Insurance Industry's Commercial Real Estate Investments Near \$1 Trillion as of Year-End 2023, Led by Mortgage Loans

Mortgage Loan (Commercial & Residential) Growth 2014 -2023

Commercial mortgage loans are **84% of total** insurer mortgage loans year-end 2023

Chart 2: U.S. Insurance Industry Mortgage Loans, Year-End 2014–2023

Commercial Real Estate Summary 2023



Total Real Estate Summary 2023

(includes Residential mortgage loan and JV-RE; excludes RMBS)



Real Estate (% of Total Cash and Invested Assets)

Real Estate	Percentage
Mortgage Loan	8.90%
CMBS	3.32%
Joint Venture-Real Estate	1.17%
REITs	0.53%
Real Estate	0.50%
Total	14.42%

Real Estate Investments by Insurer Type



Mortgage Loans by Property Type



Mortgage Loans by Property Type (%)


Mortgage Loans (% of Total Cash and Invested Assets)

Real Estate	Percentage
Apartment/Multifamily	2.74%
Other	1.58%
<mark>Office</mark>	1.51%
Industrial	1.44%
Retail	1.13%
Lodging	0.27%
Mixed Use	0.17%
Medical/Health Care	0.05%
Total	8.90%

Mortgage Loans by Insurer Type



Insurance Industry-Other Exposure Metrics

- Commercial Mortgages-insurance industry holds about 16% of the commercial mortgage market
- CMBS-insurance industry holds about 31% of the CMBS market
 - ➤Higher exposure for certain insurers

Selected Bond Type	Pct of Total - <u>PE-</u> <u>Owned</u> YE2023	Pct of Total - <u>All</u> U.S. Insurers YE2023
ABS and Other Structured Securities	29%	12%
Agency-Backed RMBS	2%	5%
Corporate Bonds	48%	55%
Private-Label CMBS	<mark>6%</mark>	<mark>1%</mark>
U.S. Government	3%	7%

Number of Private Equity-Owned U.S. Insurers Remains Constant, But Total Investments Increase by Double Digits in 2023

Key Take-Aways from Insurer Real Estate Exposure

- Insurers are significant holders of real estate investments ≈ 15% of their total cash & invested assets – and the total amount of real estate investments have been growing over time
- Commercial (≈ \$1 trillion) and residential (≈ \$250 billion) real estate investments are made through a variety of asset types, not just mortgages
- From a CRE perspective, the insurance industry primarily through life insurers – holds significant amounts of the overall commercial mortgage (16%) and CMBS markets (31%)
- Commercial mortgages are held through multiple property types, but multi-family and office are the largest categories

Matching Commercial Mortgage Holdings to Climate Risk Indicators

- Mapped 94% of Commercial mortgage loans to ZIP code level
- Match Commercial Mortgage Loans to FEMA National Risk Index data
- FEMA Score of Expected Annual Loss (EAL) In Building Value is a normalized Expected Annual Loss (EAL):
 - First, FEMA calculates EAL as the fraction of a value of buildings that could be expected to be lost annually on average.
 - Second, FEMA ranks the EAL for a peril and then scales this rank between 0 and 100 to create a score.

*Source: <u>https://www.fema.gov/sites/default/files/documents/fema_national-risk-index_technical-documentation.pdf</u>, page 5-36

Commercial Mortgage Loan Holdings & FEMA Climate Risk Index



35

Share of Commercial Mortgage Loan Holdings in Lower (Blue) and Higher (Orange) **FEMA Climate Risk Score Counties**



Share of Commercial Mortgages Holdings in Counties with Lower FEMA Climate Risk Index

Commercial Mortgage Loan Holdings & FEMA Wildfire Risk Index, 2023



FEMA Wildfire Risk

0.1 to 20.3 20.3 to 40.2 40.2 to 60.1 60.1 to 79.9 79.9 to 100.0 BACV (in \$bln)

5 10 15 25

35

Commercial Mortgage Loan Holdings & FEMA River Flooding Risk Index, 2023



FEMA River Flooding Risk

0.0 to 21.2 21.2 to 41.0 41.0 to 60.5 60.5 to 80.0 80.0 to 100.0

BACV (in \$bln)





Commercial Mortgage Loan Exposure At U.S. Life Insurers

Carmi Margalit Managing Director Life Insurance Sector Lead

November 19, 2024



Office Properties Are Slowly Declining As A Share Of Commercial Mortgages



Commercial mortgages by property type

Sources: S&P Capital IQ Pro and S&P Global Ratings.

S&P Global Ratings

(%)

47

Life Insurers Tend To Be Conservative Underwriters Of CML

At end-2023, the weighted average of loan-to-value ratios for all property types was under 60%.

100 LTV over 80 90 80 LTV 60 to 80 70 58.2 LTV under 60 54.5 60 53.8 0 51.1 49.7 0 0 (%) 0 50 0 • Weighted average LTV 40 30 20 10 0 Apartment / multifamily Office Other Industrial Retail

Loan-to-value distribution by property type as of Dec. 31, 2023

Property type

LTV--Loan-to-value ratio. DSCR – debt service coverage ratio. LTVs are based on latest appraisals; the weighted-average age of appraisals is 2.7 years. Source: S&P Global Ratings.

Life Insurers' Commercial Mortgages Are Holding Up Well

U.S. CMBS, banks & life insurers--Percentage of loans that are 90+ days delinquent or in foreclosure



Figures are as of each year-end. Sources: S&P Capital IQ Pro and S&P Global Ratings.



Delinquencies And Foreclosures Are Mostly In Office And Retail



U.S. life insurer's 90+ day delinquent and in-foreclosure CML by property type

As of Dec. 31, 2023. CML--Commercial mortgage loans. Source: S&P Capital IQ Pro.



Extensions Are Increasing



Restructured and short-term extended commercial mortgages as a share of all mortgages

Sources: S&P Capital IQ Pro and S&P Global Ratings



U.S. Life Insurers Can Withstand Deep Losses On Office Mortgages

Total losses on office mortgages as a share of statutory surplus (%)

Decline in office **Range for** U.S. life individual Median life property companies value (%) industry insurer 20 0.5 0.0-6.6 0.0 40 2.0 1.1-14.0 0.3 50 4.1 0.0-21.6 1.4 75 13.5 0.0-58.6 7.7

Sources: S&P Capital IQ Pro and S&P Global Ratings.

- We calculated total losses on office mortgages given steep theoretical declines in property value.
- Total losses = Sum of losses on each loan (assuming all office properties decline in value by the given percentage).
- Declines in property value are from the latest appraisal. On Dec. 31, 2023, the weighted-average age of office appraisals was 2.9 years.
- These are **extreme stress scenarios**; the likelihood that **all** office properties experience such large drops in value is exceedingly remote.



Real Estate Investing for Social Impact

Kelly D. Edmiston, Ph.D. Policy Research Manager

(with Anna Fitzgerald, Patrick Cho, and Jeff Czajkowski)

NAIC 2024 Fall National Meeting CIPR Event

11/19/2024

NAC NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF INSURANCE COMMISSIONERS



Real Estate Investing for Social Impact

- As we have seen, insurers may invest in real estate in myriad ways.
- They also invest in real estate for different reasons, one of which may be **social impact** (with an acceptable risk-adjusted return).
- The predominant ways insurers invest in the real estate market with social impact investments is
 - Commercial and Residential Mortgages.
 - Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTCs).

Commercial and Residential Mortgages

Real Estate Investing for Social Impact





Mortgage Investing for Social Impact

• Why Invest in Mortgages?

- An especially good diversifier because not correlated to other capital markets.
- Good long-term investment for
 - Asset/Liquidity Management.
 - Cash Flow Management.
- Duration Matching ensuring there is not a mismatch between when assets mature and when liabilities come due.

Total Mortgage Holdings by Insurers

Total Mortgage Holdings, All LOB



Insurer holdings of mortgages have increased steadily over the last decade, although at a slower rate post-2019.

> Source: CIPR/NAIC Data Source: NAIC



Social Impact Mortgage Holdings by Insurers

From Schedule B, Top 5 Investments, Insurer ABC

Affordable LMI Ownership Housing	\$	938,511,466
Residential		78%
GARDEN_APARTMENT		6%
CANYON CLUB APARTMENTS		5%
COYOTE CREEK MOBIL HOME PARK - MOBILE/MANUFACT. HOMES		2%
RENAISSANCE APTS - PHASE 1		2%
Top 5 investments		92%
Affordable LMI Rental Housing	\$:	1,130,806,390
Cumulus District, Apartment		27%
Commercial- Apartment/Multifamily		22%
1844 Market Street - Venn		
Apartments		5%
THE PARKER, APARTMENT		5%
HTFD PARK CENTRAL WHLN 2018		4%
Top 5 investments		62%

Community Development	\$ 7,316,113,498
Commercial- Office	15%
Commercial- Industrial	7%
Elevator Apartments (300-999 Units)	4%
Commerical Mortage Loan	3%
Warehouse (Non-Rail)	3%
Top 5 investments	32%



Social Impact Mortgages

Mortgage Type	Comme	rcial	Resider	ntial	Total		
	BACV (\$M)	[Percent]	BACV (\$M)	[Percent]	BACV (\$M)	[Percent]	
Social Impact		of SI		of SI		of SI	
Affordable Housing	\$2,368.4	17.0%	\$679.5	65.3%	\$3,047.9	20.4%	
Comm. Develop.	\$11,210.2	80.7%	\$352.7	33.9%	\$11,562.9	77.4%	
Social Services	\$314.1	2.3%	\$8.7	0.8%	\$322.8	2.2%	
	BACV (\$M)	[Percent]	BACV (\$M)	[Percent]	BACV (\$M)	[Percent]	
		of Total		of Total		of Total	
Social Impact	\$13,892.7	2.2%*	\$1,040.9	1.7%*	\$14,933.6	2.2%*	
Not Social Impact*	\$612,902.6	97.8%	\$60,599.3	98.3%	\$673,501.8	97.8%	
	\$626,795.3	100.0%	\$61,640.2	100.0%	\$688,435.4	100.0%	



Relative Performance of Social Impact Mortgages

Millions

Non-SII*	Good Standing	Interest > 90 Days	In Foreclosure	Restructured	Mezzanine	Total
Commercial	\$624,924	\$124	\$635	\$1,113		\$626,795
Residential	\$59,697	\$971	\$511	\$461		\$61,640
Farm	\$26,719	\$121	\$23	\$24		\$26,888
Mezzanine					\$139	\$139
Social Impact	Good Standing	Interest > 90 Days	In Foreclosure	Restructured		Total
Commercial	\$14,286	-				\$14,286
Residential	\$989	\$22	\$9	\$21		\$1,041
Farm	\$364					\$364
Non-SII*	Good Standing	Interest > 90 Days	In Foreclosure	Restructured		Total
Commercial	99.7%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%		100.0%
Residential	96.8 %	1.6%	0.8%	0.7%		100.0%
Farm	99.4 %	0.5%	0.1%	0.1%		100.0%
Mezzanine	N/A					N/A
Social Impact	Good Standing	Interest > 90 Days	In Foreclosure	Restructured		Total
Commercial	100.0%	•				100.0%
Residential	95.0%	2.1%	0.8%	2.0%		100.0%
Farm	100.0%					100.0%

Low-Income Housing Tax Credits

Real Estate Investing for Social Impact





Low-Income Housing Tax Credits

- The most significant source of affordable housing in the United States.
- Awards **developers federal tax credits (\$1 for \$1**) to offset construction costs (is competitive)
- Additional tax benefits accrue from **passive losses**, such as depreciation.
- Developers need **upfront financing** to complete construction and are usually **non-profit entities** that cannot use the tax credits.
- Developers therefore sell tax credits to **outside investors** in exchange for immediate **equity financing**.



Low-Income Housing Tax Credits: Indirect Investment

(most common method)





Low-Income Housing Tax Credits

Insurer LIHTC Investments Over Time



Tax Credit Prices 2016 - 2024



CENTER FOR INSURANCE POLICY AND RESEARCH

Calculating IRR Investor Benefit Schedule			CreditDeduction(D x 0.21) = B				$NPV = \sum_{t=0}^{T} \frac{CF_t}{\left(1 + \mathbf{IRR}\right)^t} - p_{t=0} = 0$							
XYZ				/				/						\
	-	L	Р			Volume	Discount	ll (\$35M+)						\backslash
Invest	or Benefit S	Schedule:						Тах				Non-Tax-		
	Capital	Financing &					Тах	Gain/(Loss)	Total Tax	Assumed Tax	Total After-tax		Net After-tax	Cumulative Net
Year					Federal ITC		Deductions	On Dispos.	Deductions	Rate	Benefits	Returns	Benefits	Cash Flow
-	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	н		J	к	L	м	N
	\smile								=B+G+H	Federal Tax	C+D*(100%-		=A+K+L	
										Rate 21.00%	J)+E+F+l*J		_	
2024	8,312,725		822,409	-	67,237	99,971	(3,779,758)	-	(3,779,758)	21.00%	1,783,366		(6,529,359)	(6,529,359)
2025	13,956,723	-	2,727,839	-	58,058	117,248	(7,005,020)	-	(7,005,020)	21.00%	4,374,199	-	(9,582,524)	(16,111,883)
2026	8,114,374	-	3,608,775	-		110,138	(4,411,974)	-	(4,411,974)	21.00%	4,645,428	-	(3,468,946)	(19,580,829)
2027	3,173,622	-	3,696,479	-	-	110,138	(3,319,844)	-	(3,319,844)	21.00%	4,503,784	-	1,330,162	(18,250,667)
2028	36,064	-	3,696,479	-	-	110,138	(3,024,821)	-	(3,024,821)	21.00%	4,441,829	-	4,405,765	(13,844,901)
2029	36,064	-	3,696,479	-	-	10,167	(2,790,291)	-	(2,790,291)	21.00%	4,292,607	-	4,256,543	(9,588,358)
2030	36,064	-	3,696,479	-	-	-	(2,502,646)	-	(2,502,646)	21.00%	4,222,035	-	4,185,971	(5,402,388)
2031	577,022	-	3,696,479	-	-	-	(2,340,721)	-	(2,340,721)	21.00%	4,188,030	-	3,611,008	(1,791,379)
2032	36,064	-	3,696,479	-	-	-	(2,164,272)	-	(2,164,272)	21.00%	4,150,976	-	4,114,912	2,323,533
2033	36,064	-	3,714,268	-	-	-	(2,096,380)	-	(2,096,380)	21.00%	4,154,508	-	4,118,444	6,441,977
2034	36,064	-	2,849,497	-	-	-	(2,072,554)	-	(2,072,554)	21.00%	3,284,733	-	3,248,669	9,690,646
2035	36,064	-	971,073	-	-	-	(2,077,448)	-	(2,077,448)	21.00%	1,407,337	-	1,371,273	11,061,919
2036	36,064	-	84,024	-	-	-	(2,155,139)	-	(2,155,139)	21.00%	536,603	-	500,539	11,562,458
2037	36,064	-	-	-	-	-	(1,845,702)	-	(1,845,702)	21.00%	387,597	-	351,533	11,913,992
2038	36,064	-	-	-	-	-	(1,835,085)	-	(1,835,085)	21.00%	385,368	-	349,304	12,263,296
2039	36,064	-	-	-	-	-	(1,738,853)	-	(1,738,853)	21.00%	365,159	-	329,095	12,592,391
2040	468,830	-	-	-	-	-	(196,436)	-	(196,436)	21.00%	41,252	-	(427,578)	12,164,812
2041	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21.00%	-	-	-	12,164,812
_	35,000,000	-	36,956,759	-	125,295	557,800	(45,356,944)	-	(45,356,944)		47,164,812	-	12,164,812	
Final Disp 2041	oosition-							10,977,392	10,977,392	21.00%	(2,305,252)	-	(2,305,252)	9,859,560
	35,000,000		36,956,759		125,295	557,800	(45,356,944)	10,977,392	(34,379,552)		44,859,560		9,859,560	
_	35,000,000	-	36,956,759	-	125,295	557,800	(45,356,944)	10,977,392	(34,379,552)		44,859,560	-	9,859,560	



Calculating IRR

Alternative Quarterly IRR at Varying LIHTC Equity Prices

(from previous benefit schedule)



Weighted Average IRR, Multi-Investor Funds



Other Social Impact Investments

Real Estate Investing for Social Impact





Other Investments for Social Impact

• There are myriad additional **real estate** investment avenues for making a social impact. Included are

• Municipal Bonds

• A significant number of bonds are issued to help finance affordable housing. Especially relevant are private activity bonds, which use public borrowing for private purposes.

• Community Development Financial Institutions (CDFIs)

- CDFIs are pivotal for financing and promoting community and economic development in underserved areas.
- Are mostly <u>specialized banks</u> but also include credit unions, **loan funds**, and (rarely) venture capitalists.
- A substantial portion of CDFI lending is targeted at affordable housing.

• Private Equity Social Infrastructure Funds

• Private equity has increasingly become a significant player in the social infrastructure and social impact space, including financing the development of affordable housing projects.

Reference Slides

Real Estate Investing for Social Impact





Low-Income Housing Tax Credits: Direct Investment



 (\textcircled)

Insurer Exposure to Social Impact Investments

Baseline Exposure of the Insurance Industry to Social Impact Investments



See CIPR report.

Insurer Exposure to Social Impact Investments

Insurance Industry Exposure to Social Impact Investments Over Time

()





Contact Information

Kelly D. Edmiston, Ph.D.

Policy Research Manager Center for Insurance Policy & Research National Association of Insurance Commissioners 1100 Walnut | Suite 1000 Kansas City, MO 64106

kedmiston@naic.org

(816) 783-8067 [Office] (816) 206-5147 [Mobile]

NA C NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF INSURANCE COMMISSIONERS

NAC I Representation of Insurance commissioners

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF INSURANCE COMMISSIONERS